

~	<u> </u>
(reorg	antor
GCOIE	Cunton

'The father of set theory' 1845–1918 Martin-Luther-Universität Halle-Wittenberg 1874: the birth of set theory, and the discovery of different levels of infinity 1883: the theory of ordinal numbers



Ordinals and Cardinals Language:

- cardinal numerals
 - ▶ one, two, . . .
 - "how many?"
- ordinal numerals
 - first, second, ...
 - "where in a sequence?"

Mathematics:

- ► cardinal numbers
 - ► 0, 1, 2, ...
 - "how many [in a set]?"
- ordinal numbers
- ▶ 0, 1, 2, ...
- "where in a sequence?", also "how long [is a sequence]?"

The sequence *a*,*b*,*a* is 3 letters long, but contains 2 distinct letters.

Counting
 0: 1: 2: 10: Obviously we can keep counting 'for ever': <i>ω</i>:

Addition of ordinals

Adding sequences is just putting one after the other: $\omega + 1$: $||_{II_1}|$ $\omega + 3$: $||_{II_1}|||$ $\omega + \omega$: $||_{II_1}||_{II_1}$ But $1 + \omega$: $|||_{II_1} = \omega$

Multiplication of ordinals

which we might visualize as III.. IIII. IIII. IIII.

Well-foundedness and induction

Key property of these ordinals: they are *well-founded*. Start with an infinite ordinal, and keep decreasing it: after a **finite** (but arbitrarily large) number of steps, you must hit zero.

This means that ordinals can generalize *proof by induction*: If

- $P(\alpha)$ holds for $\alpha = 0$, and
- if $P(\beta)$ holds for all $\beta < \alpha$, then $P(\alpha)$ holds,

then we can conclude that $P(\alpha)$ holds for all ordinals α . (Often restricted to ordinals less than some fixed α_0 .)

Example: why does Ackermann terminate?

The Ackermann function (of two integer arguments) A(x, y) is defined recursively thus:

 $\begin{aligned} &A(0,y) = y + 1 \\ &A(x,0) = A(x-1,1) & \text{for } x > 0 \\ &A(x,y) = A(x-1,A(x,y-1)) & \text{for } x,y > 0 \end{aligned}$

Is it obvious that this recursive computation ever finishes on, e.g., A(4, 4)?

In each recursive call, *either* x gets smaller, *or* x stays the same and y gets smaller.

This is an induction on $\boldsymbol{\omega} \cdot \boldsymbol{\omega}$.

The Ackermann function grows quite fast – see later ...

Ordinal Exponentiation

Integer exponentiation is just repeated multiplication: $2^3 = 2 \times 2 \times 2$. I.e., we write x^{y} for y copies of x multiplied together. $\omega^2: \omega \cdot \omega$ 2^{ω} : $2 \cdot 2 \cdot 2 \cdot \ldots = \omega$ ω^3 : $\omega \cdot \omega \cdot \omega = \omega^2 \cdot \omega$ lln. ļļu. ļu h i. E.E. ω^{ω} : $\omega \cdot \omega \cdot \omega \cdot \ldots$

A little puzzle ...

A number is written in *hereditary base b* if it's a sum of powers of *b*, with all the exponents also written in hereditary base *b*. E.g. with b = 2

$1030 = 2^{10} + 2^2 + 2 = 2^{2^{2+1}+2} + 2^2 + 2$

or with b = 3

$1030 = 3^{3 \times 2} + 3^{3+2} + 3^3 \times 2 + 3 + 1$

Think of a number *n*. Write it in h.b. 2. Now replace 2 by 3 and evaluate. Subtract 1. Write the result in h.b. 3. Replace 3 by 4 and evaluate. Subtract 1. And so on ... until you hit zero. Let G(n) be the length of this process – if it finishes!

For example: $G(3)$	G(4) =	
3 = 2 + 1 $\rightarrow 3 + 1 = 3 4$ 4 - 1 = 3 = 3 3 $\rightarrow 4 = 4 4$ 4 - 1 = 3 = 4 3 $\rightarrow 3 = 5 3$ 3 - 1 = 2 = 5 2 $\rightarrow 2 = 6 2$ 2 - 1 = 1 = 6 1 $\rightarrow 1 = 7 1$ 1 - 1 = 0 So $G(3) = 6$	68950808030926201657363899596115099569577498758029736589 65164942362743495979724871888253075446277672715412687741 34196294274754024623945165423420847416977379911463833552 69129320073235045130731133415321473276443100557449932505 15006661770697335697266822986380629230539311939473732984 32189645058087369473177341975229512418408401173732994662 36583517126642762404390343968364036246706786021125426974 22457548590135058038973996050222167215602290558339854433 64582849621578912386681708820717886170299010486094304298 3193831330062353799303221914424437215613123094143176938 67571586750377935644459245645595556087522305546773436198 47032332425407785083961078958596196387897297104581844575 77157677751206967346327625413465613506947655384380307508 65233130216216163628621847422406626611936799943353915562 43559138950800725078317787807770746695975268954544726471 50035241859874391011058882911482099143475541850986185545	We now skip 135278 slides

30187441025940056292813034386280177679544034517464936619 31073504178981468557969472279965424657699404235005937914 72930883993663027161466688743717253581936410274739801296 83060714286243899305063868650864112105238061406944808189 08304913462509086531545100380965533413343423478836091833 53220182680722735478679352859535040769913825815484931187 10726329608316620305483302616305150324000876272357296528 10182401729583610978448023254665651115973448118179302336 29234929512268465106495927833854484067484182464486747555 62975216019453924341023727286959093404563639409013246678 20328593203290715635768149137536972887886088038810819894 08291784060416318863529224353808259669206267357619658951 446422310193135419323844928197722374143

Or, more comprehensibly, about $7\times 10^{121210694};$ or about $2^{2^{29}}.$

G(5) =



Why does G always terminate?

A slight variation of the description:

Think of a number *n*. Write it in h.b. 2, and replace 2 by ω ; let b = 2. Increment *b*, and subtract 1, expanding ω to *b* (only) when necessary; repeat until zero.

 $4 = \omega^{\omega} \qquad b = 2$ $\rightarrow 26 = \omega^{\omega} - 1 \qquad b = 3$ $= \omega^{3} - 1 \qquad b = 3$ $= \omega^{2} \cdot 2 + \omega \cdot 2 + 2 \qquad b = 3$ $\rightarrow 41 = \omega^{2} \cdot 2 + \omega \cdot 2 + 1 \qquad b = 4$ $\rightarrow 60 = \omega^{2} \cdot 2 + \omega \cdot 2 \qquad b = 5$ $\rightarrow 83 = \omega^{2} \cdot 2 + \omega + 5 \qquad b = 6$

The ordinal always decreases, even while its evaluation with $\omega = \mathbf{b}$ is increasing. This is ordinal induction.

x y	0	1	2	3	4
0	1	2	3	4	5
1	2	3	4	5	6
2	3	5	7	9	11
3	5	13	29	61	125
4	13	65533	$\sim 2^{65533}$	$\sim 2^{2^{65533}}$	$\sim 2^{2^{2^{65533}}}$

A(1)	G(11)
1	1
3	2
7	5
61	6
2 ^{2²⁶⁵⁵³³}	2 ²²⁹
	$ \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 3 \\ 7 \\ 61 \\ 2^{2^{65533}} \end{array} $

... via iterating exponentiation ...

```
Multiplication 2 \cdot n is iterated addition 2 + 2 + 2 + \cdots + 2.
Exponentiation 2^n or 2^n is iterated multiplication
2 \times 2 \times 2 \times \cdots \times 2.
Tetration <sup>n</sup><sup>2</sup> or 2<sup>n</sup> is iterated exponentiation 2<sup>2^22^{-1}</sup>...<sup>2</sup>.
and so on . . .
Call a number small if it's ... small ...
... and 1-big if it's 2<sup>(small)</sup> ...
... and 2-big if it's 2<sup>(small)</sup> ... and so on.
Let's continue the Ackermann – Goodstein comparison:
 n \mid A(n) \mid
                 G(n)
 4 2-big 2-big
 5 3-big 3-big
 6
    4-big 5-big
 7
      5-big 7-big
 8 6-big G(4)-big
```

... and so *ad infinitum*

Call a number *1-huge* if it's (1-big)-big, *2-huge* if it's (1-huge)-big, etc.

Call a number *1-humungous* if it's (1-huge)-huge, etc. etc. etc. etc. etc. till your brain explodes.

... and so *ultra infinitum*

Play the iterated exponentiation game with ordinals, and don't stop at infinity!

 $\omega, \omega^{\omega}, \omega^{\omega^{\omega}}, \ldots$

After this we need a new symbol $\epsilon_0 = \omega^{\omega^{\omega'}}$ - note that $\omega^{\epsilon_0} = \epsilon_0$, just as $\omega^{\omega} = \omega \cdot \omega^{\omega}$ and $\omega \cdot \omega = \omega + \omega \cdot \omega$.

 ϵ_0 is the first *fixed point* of the function $\alpha \mapsto \omega^{\alpha}$.

Then ϵ_1 is the second fixed point (and is the end of $\epsilon_0 + 1, \omega^{\epsilon_0+1}, \omega^{\omega^{\epsilon_0+1}}, \ldots$).

Then there's $\epsilon_{\epsilon_{\alpha_{\alpha_{\alpha_{\alpha_{\alpha}}}}}}$, the first fixed point of $\alpha \mapsto \epsilon_{\alpha}$. Then start counting fixed points of the functions that list the fixed points: the *Veblen* hierarchy. The end of this process is called Γ_0 . Then it starts getting complicated ...

Why do (some) computer scientists care?

The working theoretical computer scientist needs ordinals to do inductions. However, generally speaking, up to ω^ω is as far as we need to go.

Proof theorists (logicians, but sometimes found in CS depts!) need much bigger ordinals.

The strength of a theory (how much that is true, can it prove?) can be measured by how long are the inductions it can do.

E.g. Primitive Recursive Arithmetic can't do ω^ω inductions.

Peano Arithmetic can't do ϵ_0 induction – so can't prove that ${\it G}$ terminates!

Proof Theory may be of interest to Theorem Provers ...

Envoi

All these ordinals are small!

The real Cantorian revolution was about *cardinals* – we have not gone beyond the first infinite cardinal. But that's for another talk.